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DESCRIPTION

Neurotrophic keratopathy (NK) is a serious and rare degenerative corneal disease caused by impairment in the trigeminal innervation of the cornea. Epithelial breakdown can lead to ulceration, melting of the stroma, and ultimately to corneal perforation. Significant damage may be avoided with appropriate therapeutic options when given in a timely manner.

The goal of this CME monograph is to educate eye care providers on the latest scientific understanding of NK, including:

- clinical manifestations and complications;
- conditions associated with the development of NK and populations at risk;
- the importance of early detection and early management;
- screening and diagnostic strategies; and
- optimal management strategies including emerging treatments and the latest clinical data (safety, efficacy, and mechanism of action [MOA]).

This CME monograph is based on proceedings from a closed roundtable event.

TARGET AUDIENCE

Cornea specialists, comprehensive ophthalmologists, and optometrists.

OBJECTIVES

After participating in this activity, the learner will demonstrate the ability to:

- Discuss the clinical manifestations and complications of NK
- Recognize the importance of early diagnosis and intervention for patients with NK
- Identify populations at high risk of having/developing NK
- Discuss screening and diagnostic procedures for NK
- Evaluate and compare the safety, efficacy, and clinical utility of current and emerging treatments for NK
- Describe the MOA of emerging therapies for the treatment of NK
- Develop management plans that improve outcomes for patients with NK
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Neurotrophic keratopathy—also known as neurotrophic keratitis—is gaining increased attention as its long-term sequelae are better understood and as new treatments emerge that may prevent some of the corneal damage. On October 26, 2019, six cornea specialists convened for an expert roundtable in Baltimore, Maryland, to review the current data on neurotrophic keratopathy pathophysiology, screening and diagnosis, and management. To highlight some of the key findings from these presentations, several cases were discussed. We sought to provide a review of this topic as well as practical advice for ophthalmologists to better screen for neurotrophic keratopathy, diagnose it earlier, and improve the management of neurotrophic keratopathy. Faculty were chosen based on their academic and research experience and clinical expertise in the management of neurotrophic keratopathy. This Johns Hopkins School of Medicine CME monograph provides a summary of the findings and discussions from our meeting. We are hopeful that this will provide a useful tool to help eye care providers better recognize and manage neurotrophic keratopathy.
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Key Messages
• NK is a condition of decreased corneal sensation, with or without inflammation.
• NK is often not diagnosed until stage 2, when epithelial damage has already started. However, patients with stage 1 NK might report photosensitivity and fluctuating vision upon questioning, particularly when performing sustained visual activities such as reading.
• Early diagnosis of NK before corneal ulceration occurs is important to prevent vision loss.

Neurotrophic keratopathy (NK) is characterized by decreased or absent corneal sensitivity caused by damage to the trigeminal nerve. The V1 branch of the trigeminal nerve is primarily affected, with a resultant reduction in reflex tearing and blinking and diminished production of trophic factors, making the ocular surface more susceptible to injury. Eventually the epithelial barrier breaks down, which can result in damage ranging from punctate epithelial erosions to frank perforation of the cornea.

Neurotrophic keratopathy is not solely a sensory nerve phenomenon. Corneal innervation includes sensory afferent fibers, as well as efferent sympathetic and parasympathetic fibers. The corneal nerves enter the stroma and spread evenly, up through the Bowman’s layer and into the epithelium. This extensive corneal nerve plexus provides protective and trophic functions. Crosstalk between the corneal nerves and epithelium regulates integrity, proliferation, migration, and adhesion of the ocular surface cells. There is also synergy with the neurotrophic growth factors released by the epithelial cells. In particular, nerve growth factor has several different functions that include promoting neuronal sprouting after nerve transection, providing trophic support to neurons after injury, reversing pathologic changes induced by peripheral nerve damage, improving reflex tearing and epithelial healing, and regulating blood flow to nerves.1

In a patient with NK, changes in the tear film occur due to an absence of stimulation of the lacrimal gland by the corneal nerves. A reduction in trophic factors (normally released by the epithelium) results in diminished migration of limbal stem cells from the limbus toward the center of the cornea; these less vital cells are then vulnerable to shearing forces of the eyelid. Simultaneously, there is an insufficient repair response by the epithelium, resulting in the clinical manifestations of NK. The pathognomonic feature of NK is reduced corneal sensitivity, which can be found in even the earliest stages of the disease.
Classification and Natural History

The Mackie classification categorizes NK into mild, moderate, and severe (stages 1, 2, and 3), each with its own characteristic changes in tear film, corneal epithelium, and clinical presentation (Figure 1).2,3

Figure 1. NATURAL HISTORY OF NEUROTROPHIC KERATOPATHY

Clinical Presentation

- **Mild: Stage 1**
  - Dry eye
  - Photophobia
  - Inability to read extensively
  - Impaired quality of vision
  - Reduced blink rate

- **Moderate: Stage 2**
  - Decrease in vision

- **Severe: Stage 3**
  - Possible conjunctival injection
  - Possible pain

Corneal Epithelium

- **Reduced mitosis**
- **Reduced cell turnover**
- **Reduced cell vitality in central cornea**
- **Increased epithelial permeability**
- **Decreased epithelial thickness in central cornea**
- **Epithelial damage by lid friction**
- **Fine or coarse punctate erosions**
- **Non-healing epithelial defects**
- **Damage to Bowman’s membrane**
- **Exposed stroma – vulnerable to enzymatic digestion**
- **Stromal involvement**
- **MMP activator/inhibitor imbalance**
- **Stromal lysis**
- **Risk of perforation and loss of eye**

Tear Film

- **Reduced tear production (all tear production is dependent on nerve stimuli)**
- **Decreased epithelial turnover, increased amount of epithelial-toxic agents**
- **Decreased tear film thickness**
- **Low protection against lid margin (lid wiper) stress**
- **Tear film instability – evaporative tear loss**
- **Hyperosmolarity**
- **Increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines**
- **MMP9 activation**
- **Increase in inflammatory mediators**
- **Further MMP activation**

Abbreviation: MMP, matrix metallopeptidase.
Adapted with permission from Dua HS et al. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2018;66:107-131.2
Neurotrophic Keratopathy: Overview

Stage 1 begins with reduced tear production followed by decreased epithelial turnover, decreased tear film thickness, and reduced protection against lid margin stress. These conditions lead to a decreased mitosis rate, cell turnover, and cell vitality in the corneal epithelium and increased epithelial permeability. Clinically, this can present as punctate epithelial erosions, increased mucus viscosity, and decreased tear breakup time. Patients with stage 1 NK often have a decreased blink rate, as well as dry eye and inability to sustain visual activities such as reading or driving for extended periods of time. The quality of their vision may or may not yet be impaired, but fluctuating vision and photophobia are common. Ideally, patients should be identified and treated in stage 1 disease, as stage 2 disease can result in irreversible corneal scarring.

In stage 2 disease, there is often a non-healing epithelial defect, usually in the central/superior cornea, surrounded by a rim of loose epithelium. The edges of the lesion often have a classic “smooth, rolled edge” appearance. Stromal edema and Descemet’s membrane folds can result from the tear film entering the stroma. There may be some anterior chamber inflammation, and corneal hypoesthesia/anesthesia is also common. The patient’s vision often drops significantly during stage 2. Because the exposed stroma is vulnerable to enzymatic digestion, these epithelial defects can quickly lead to stage 3 disease.3,4

Stage 3 disease is characterized by a robust inflammatory response with matrix metalloproteinase activator/inhibitor imbalance, along with an increase in inflammatory mediators in the tear film. Clinically, this presents with stromal lysis and thinning, epithelial perforation, and sterile hypopyon, resulting in eye-threatening disease. Although patients often do not have pain during the subacute inflammatory process, vision is significantly affected due to extensive corneal damage, and conjunctival injection may occur if there is a concurrent infection. Acute pain may ensue with abrupt changes in intraocular pressure due to corneal perforation.3,4

Epidemiology

Neurotrophic keratopathy has historically been categorized as a rare disease, occurring in about 5/10,000 persons.5 However, considering the lack of large population-based studies and the difficulty in diagnosing an often clinically silent condition, we suspect that the true prevalence may be higher. The causes of NK include infections, systemic comorbidities, central nervous system insults, corneal dystrophies, and toxic injuries, as well as genetic and iatrogenic causes. Damage to the trigeminal nerve from these causes can occur at several anatomic locations along the axon (Table).4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table. CAUSES AND LOCATIONS OF NEUROTROPHIC KERATOPATHY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brainstem</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Brainstem lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Multiple sclerosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trigeminal Nerve</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Trigeminal lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Trigeminal neuralgia surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Aneurysm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Acoustic neuroma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Meningioma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ciliary Nerve</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ciliary nerve lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Orbital tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Facial trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ocular Nerve</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ocular nerve lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Panretinal photocoagulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cyclocoagulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corneal Nerve</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Corneal nerve lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Herpetic keratitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Chemical and thermal injuries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Surgery (LASIK, keratoplasty, cataract)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Contact lens wear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Chronic use of topical medications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: LASIK, laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis.
Data from Versura et al.4

The infectious causes of NK include herpes zoster virus (HZV) (12.8% of HZV cases), herpes simplex virus (HSV) (6% of HSV cases), and leprosy.6,7 Approximately one-third of patients with NK have herpetic disease. Herpetic disease results in loss of corneal nerve receptors. HZV causes more classic neurotrophic disease and segmental hypoesthesia. With HSV, the virus travels by axoplasmic flow to the trigeminal ganglia, but the subbasal plexus is also altered. Interestingly, there can be
bilateral loss of nerve density and bilateral dry eye, perhaps as a result of the virus traveling to the trigeminal ganglia on the contralateral side.8

Central nervous system damage resulting in NK includes surgical damage from trigeminal neuralgia surgery, aneurysm repair, or removal of neoplasms (acoustic neuromas). These cases tend to be severe and very debilitating because destruction of the trigeminal nucleus results in irreparable damage.

Systemic causes of NK include diabetes, vitamin A deficiency, amyloidosis, and multiple sclerosis (MS). Diabetes in particular is a notable factor because of its high prevalence in the US population (9.4% of the US population and 25% of the US elderly population).9 Diabetes increases the risk for NK because of microvascular ischemic neuropathy. There is also a greater prevalence of dry eye in people with diabetes compared with the general population, which might be related to an underlying corneal neuropathy.

Vitamin A deficiency affects epithelial cell migration and differentiation. Likewise, in MS, demyelination of the nerves can cause NK, though it is less common. Genetic causes of NK are most often due to deficiencies in the development of cranial nerve V.

Finally, iatrogenic causes of NK are commonly seen by ophthalmologists and are primarily due to ocular interventions (Figure 2).3 All patients undergoing refractive surgery have a reduction in corneal sensitivity, which is thought to be related to the extent of the corneal incision. NK in post–laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) eyes can occur in up to 28% of patients, but is typically transient. The recovery time for nerve function in LASIK can range from 3 weeks to 9 months; for photorefractive keratectomy (PRK), one study showed a recovery time of 3 months. Nerve function after cataract surgery recovery is estimated to be about 3 months but other studies have found that the nerves may never fully recover.10,11 It is important to note that the typical

Abbreviations: CNS, central nervous system; LASIK, laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis. Data from Dua et al.2
patient receiving LASIK/PRK and the typical candidate for cataract surgery represent 2 very different populations. Those undergoing cataract surgery are older and therefore might have greater susceptibility to nerve injury, despite a smaller surgical insult.

Corneal transplantation has been well documented to cause NK. Although tear film function may recover more quickly in patients undergoing deep anterior lamellar keratoplasty compared with patients undergoing penetrating keratoplasty (PK), there is some degree of corneal desensitization in both patient populations that remains even at 1 year. Studies show that the subbasal plexus anatomy is altered even 4 decades after PK. While the earliest regeneration of the subbasal plexus is noted at 6 months, patients with keratoconus who are undergoing PK may recover nerve function faster (this may be due to differences in overall health status and age rather than a decreased propensity of PK to cause NK).

Certain posterior segment procedures (eg, panretinal photocoagulation or cyclophotocoagulation [CPC] for glaucoma) can cause direct ciliary nerve damage. With CPC, one can attempt to avoid the nasal and temporal quadrants because of the presence of ciliary nerves. In cases of patients with total retinal detachment, a retinectomy might be required, which can transect the ciliary nerves. Patients with diabetes undergoing these procedures may be more susceptible to ciliary nerve damage and NK because of their age and inherent neuropathy.

Benzalkonium chloride (BAK) may be one of the biggest instigators of NK due to its frequent use in glaucoma medications and as a preservative in other over-the-counter drops. BAK induces inflammation and apoptosis and is known to be neurotoxic, even below standard ophthalmic dosing.
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Key Messages

• Early diagnosis of NK is important, especially since early NK without frank corneal findings may be frequently asymptomatic.
• NK should be actively screened for in patients known to have risk factors.
• A detailed patient history is essential and should include (but not be limited to) ocular medication use, surgical history, and contact lens wear.
• Assessment should include corneal staining using fluorescein, rose bengal or lissamine green staining, corneal sensitivity testing, and may include other cranial nerve testing and corneal nerve imaging using IVCM.
• Corneal sensitivity is an important element of ocular surface evaluation. This should be incorporated into the office protocol, either by a technician or the physician.
• Not all decreases in corneal sensation are clinically relevant. For example, consider the age of the patient.
• It is important to treat all causes of ocular surface disease and dry eye, which are a common comorbidity with NK.

Neurotrophic keratopathy (NK) is an umbrella term to describe a rare epithelial disease state of decreased or absent corneal sensitivity, with or without inflammation, caused by damage to the ophthalmic branch of the trigeminal nerve. The pathognomonic sign of NK is decreased corneal sensation, which sometimes is accompanied by measurable nerve loss using in vivo confocal microscopy (IVCM) and/or ocular pain, as in cases of postherpetic neuralgia. NK should be suspected in any patient presenting with ocular symptoms disproportionally less than the ocular surface signs and a decreased blink rate. Because of this discrepancy between clinical signs and symptoms, NK tends to not be diagnosed early enough, when the opportunity for reversal of ocular surface damage and vision restoration with treatment is highest. When NK is diagnosed in the later stages, with the typical corneal findings, vision loss may have become permanent due to corneal scarring or melting.

The causes of NK are myriad, with several of them being quite common in clinical practice, particularly ophthalmology. The more common causes of NK have been summarized in Table 1. Other factors that are highly suggestive of stage 1 NK include focal central superficial punctate keratitis (SPK), diffuse SPK throughout the cornea, significantly decreased or absent sensation, and significant or complete loss of corneal nerves by IVCM. Patients with any of these risk factors, based on review of systems, should be screened for NK.
Table 1. COMMON CAUSES OF NEUROTROPHIC KERATOPATHY FOUND IN OPHTHALMOLOGY CLINICAL PRACTICE

- Stroke
- Diabetes
- Panretinal photocoagulation
- Herpetic keratitis (herpes simplex virus-1)
- Surgery (laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis, keratoplasty, cataract)
- Contact lens wear
- Chronic use of topical medications
- Use of benzalkonium chloride–containing agents

Whereas all of the etiologies of NK can affect its severity, it is also impacted by several comorbidities and associated factors (Table 2). Of note, for those with chronic contact lens use and history of laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis surgery, there can be substantial actual nerve loss before corneal sensitivity is affected, as is the case with herpetic keratitis, in which 60% to 70% of nerve loss has been observed.1-3

Table 2. FACTORS THAT CAN AFFECT THE SEVERITY OF NEUROTROPHIC KERATOPATHY

**Lid and Lash Sensitivity**
- Chronic blepharitis with lash loss
- Extension lashes

**Conjunctival Sensitivity**
- Atopy
- Allergy
- Floppy lids
- Conjunctivochalasis

**Nasal Sensitivity**
- Chronic nasal allergy

Diagnosis

Diagnosis of NK is accomplished through obtaining a careful and detailed patient history that includes current symptoms, followed by assessment of corneal sensitivity prior to instilling any eye drops and thorough slit lamp examination. Common presenting symptoms of NK (dryness, photophobia, and trouble with sustained visual activities such as reading) are usually worse in the morning. Certain environmental factors (eg, air conditioning, drafts, and prolonged computer use) exacerbate the symptoms. In more advanced cases, pain or discomfort may or may not be present due to decreased sensation or development of neuralgia. Visual involvement is always present, even in early stages in the form of fluctuating vision, but it is more obvious (eg, decreased acuity) in more advanced and chronic cases with obvious corneal signs.

The patient history should include evidence of concomitant systemic diseases such as diabetes, stroke, or herpes zoster or simplex infections; surgical procedures (corneal surgery or 5th or 7th nerve damage due to neurosurgery); physical history (ocular trauma, contact lens use, chemical burns); and topical medication use (topical anesthetics, glaucoma medications, agents with benzalkonium chloride; Table 3).

Table 3. COMPONENTS OF A PATIENT HISTORY TO DETECT NEUROTROPHIC KERATOPATHY

- Diabetes
- Stroke
- Herpes simplex or zoster infections
- Medications
- Ocular/facial/nervous system/corneal surgery
- Physical trauma
- Abuse of topical anesthetics
- Contact lens use
- Chemical burns
- Long-term use of topical medications with BAK

Common medications or agents that contain BAK include beta-blocking agents, adrenergic-agonist drugs, carbonic anhydrase inhibitors, cholinergic agents, prostaglandin analogs, agents used to treat allergies, antiviral agents, decongestants, miotics, mydriatics and cycloplegics, topical and local anesthetics, and topical nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs

Abbreviation: BAK, benzalkonium chloride.

The causes of decreased corneal sensation are myriad and may affect sensory nerve supply from the trigeminal nucleus to the corneal nerve endings. Ocular sensitivity is the summation of ophthalmic (V1) innervation,
including the cornea, lid margin/lashes, conjunctiva, and anterior nasal mucosa. Because the V1 branch of the trigeminal nerve affects the entire ophthalmic zone, physical examination should include visualization of the ocular surface as well as inspection of the lid and lid margin (Figure 1) to identify exposure keratopathy and blepharitis, which may contribute to ocular inflammation. Intranasal sensitivity could be tested as well.

In addition, given that an epithelial defect also can be due to infectious origin, it is important to rule out infectious keratitis in patients with frank epithelial defects. A Schirmer’s test without topical anesthesia will measure tear film production, which may be reduced due to reduced corneal sensitivity.

**Box. OPTIMAL LISSAMINE GREEN STAINING**

In a study of 16 eyes from 8 subjects for ocular surface examination of patients with dry eye, the optimal volume of lissamine green was found to be 10 μL of 1% lissamine green. Also, observation through a red filter facilitated the examination of lissamine green staining.

Examination of the conjunctiva, cornea, and mucosa should also include looking for corneal scarring or previous keratitis. Many patients are unaware that they might have had prior herpetic keratitis, especially if it was in the form of epithelial keratitis only, without stromal involvement or uveitis.

Blink rate (normally approximately 16-18 times per minute) is reduced in patients with NK. Importantly, some patients may blink only partially. Therefore, not only the blink rate, but also the blink pattern should be checked in both eyes. Also, of note, most patients with stage 1 NK or patients with systemic disorders such as Parkinson disease or diabetes have bilaterally reduced blink rate; by stage 2 or 3, this often becomes unilateral. Bilateral reduced blink rate is often accompanied by a reduction in tear production.

Slit lamp examination may reveal ocular causes of corneal anesthesia, such as stromal scars from previous keratitis or evidence of corneal refractive surgery, or previously asymptomatic dystrophies.

Clinical evaluation of suspected NK focuses on measurement of corneal sensitivity. Of note, many of these tests can be performed by trained ophthalmic technicians. Corneal sensitivity can be measured simply using a cotton thread. A Cochet-Bonnet esthesiometer is also available for more objective measurements (Figure 2). The cotton thread method, in which a cotton swab, unwaxed dental floss, or the tip of a tissue is gently applied to the ocular surface, is a qualitative method to assess changes in a patient’s corneal sensitivity. When the cotton thread/floss/tissue gently touches the cornea,
healthy patients show a blink reaction and can describe the sensation of touch; patients with a loss of corneal sensitivity do not react or react with much less intensity. A descriptive scale (normal, hypoesthesia, anesthesia) is typically used, but grading scales, including numeric scales (0-4, ranging from no sensation [anesthesia] to normal sensation [exhibited via a reflex to pull away]), can also be used.2,8 Sometimes, though, the challenge is determining what is “normal” sensation. It can be a range within the context of the overall history and evaluation of the ocular surface.

A Cochet-Bonnet esthesiometer is a semi-quantitative method of measuring corneal sensitivity. This instrument quantifies corneal sensitivity by touching a nylon filament of different lengths (0-6 cm) to the cornea to elicit a blink or a patient response. Each quadrant of the cornea may be tested separately.9 Testing of the central quadrant is clinically the most important for diagnosing stage 1 NK.

Another tool is the non-contact gas esthesiometer, which stimulates the cornea with a calibrated air/gas emission from an injector tip close to the eye. The blink response is observed. The instrument is mounted on a slit lamp, and the composition and temperature of the gas can be varied to assess mechanical, chemical, and thermal sensitivity. It is a safe and reproducible method.2,10,11

More complex examinations may help confirm or rule out disease. IVCM detects corneal nerve changes (qualitatively and quantitatively)—such as nerve density, tortuosity, angulation, thickness, and reflectivity—and correlations to sensation.12 IVCM offers fast and noninvasive in vivo imaging of the cornea and can be used as a tool for measuring recovery as well, depending on the etiology of the corneal nerve changes.7 It has been used to show that, while nerve loss may not always correlate with loss of corneal sensation, in patients with herpes zoster ophthalmicus, reduced nerve density, total nerve count, main trunks, and tortuosity were correlated significantly with corneal sensation.2

Microbiological examination excludes bacterial, fungal, or viral infections.13 Impression cytology distinguishes corneal from conjunctival epithelium and differentiates limbal cell deficiency from corneal neovascularization.14 Anterior segment optical coherence tomography can demonstrate corneal nerve abnormalities (radial keratoneuritis), such as during active acanthamoeba keratitis, and can assess corneal thickness changes that may occur during moderate-to-severe NK.7

In general, in cases of suspicious diagnosis of NK, or if the condition persists despite treatment, referral to a cornea specialist should be considered.

---

**Figure 2. TESTING CORNEAL SENSITIVITY**

**QUALITATIVE**

**Cotton Thread**

When the cotton thread gently touches the cornea, healthy patients show a blink reaction and can describe the sensation of touch

**QUANTITATIVE**

**Cochet-Bonnet Esthesiometer**

- Commonly used
- Quantifies corneal sensitivity by a nylon filament of different lengths touching the cornea to elicit a blink or patient response
- Each quadrant of the cornea can be tested separately

Data from Dua et al; Semeraro et al; and Sacchetti and Lambiase.9
**Differential Diagnosis**

Several common conditions may worsen the prognosis of NK and should be managed either prior to, or simultaneously with, NK treatment. These include dry eye, blepharitis, contact lens–related disorders, exposure keratitis, mild chemical injury, and topical drug toxicity. Likewise, key considerations before beginning NK treatment are listed in Table 4. A key concern is determining for how long non-specific treatments should be continued before a significant clinical improvement is seen. Generally speaking, 4 to 8 weeks of treatment should be enough time to determine whether other possible contributing factors should be considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. CONSIDERATIONS BEFORE BEGINNING NEUROTROPHIC KERATOPATHY TREATMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Discontinue and/or modulate frequency of topical medications and consider preservative-free formulations, when possible, to decrease corneal toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Address blepharitis and meibomian gland dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Rule out active infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Treat ocular surface inflammation when it is part of the underlying etiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Treat dry eye, if not previously treated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Key Messages

• The ocular surface (corneal nerves, corneal and conjunctival epithelia, and tears) can be thought of as one unit.

• Although the overarching goal of treatment for NK is restoration or improvement of corneal sensation, the specific treatment goals will vary based on the stage of disease. Ideally, NK will be identified and treated early to prevent late-stage sequelae.

• Treatments should be used according to NK stage/ severity, but they are not mutually exclusive of one another. Often a combination of approaches needs to be considered.

• Some patients, especially with early stage NK, may need to be made aware of the benefits of NK treatment, especially if they deny any symptoms. However, careful questioning about their ability to perform visual tasks requiring sustained gazing, such as reading, will reveal symptoms. Showing patients objective data (eg, from slit lamp results, corneal staining) can also help to educate them about the benefits of addressing NK early.

In healthy eyes, there is a state of corneal homeostasis among the corneal nerves, the corneal and conjunctival epithelia, and the tear film (Figure). The corneal nerves are a complex mix of sensory and autonomic nerves that provide trophic support to the ocular surface. They also stimulate wound healing and maintain anatomic integrity via neuromediators (eg, substance P, calcitonin gene-related peptide), which in turn mediate nerve fiber survival, differentiation, and maturation via neurotrophins, neuropeptides, and growth factors. In response to external irritation, the corneal nerves also stimulate the tear glands to promote tear secretion and the blinking reflex through an interaction with the lacrimal glands and corneal surface. Tears contain growth factors and nutrients that stimulate epithelial cells.1,2 The ocular surface (nerves, epithelia, and tears) can be thought of as one unit. Blinking, mostly mediated by corneal innervation, also plays a significant role in replenishing and redistributing the tear film.

Although the overarching goal of treatment for neurotrophic keratopathy (NK) is restoration or improvement of corneal sensation, the specific treatment goals will vary based on the stage of disease. In stage 1 NK, treatment goals are focused on improving the corneal epithelium and avoiding epithelial breakdown, mostly by removing offending agents. In stage 2, the goal is to promote ocular surface healing, particularly the corneal epithelium, and to prevent any ulcers. By stage 3, epithelial damage has occurred; therefore, the goals are to reduce corneal stromal scarring and prevent perforation.
Management of NK can be divided into 3 categories: off-label medications, in-office procedures, and surgical interventions. Most treatments address the sequelae of NK rather than the underlying etiology, with the exception of corneal neurotization surgery. Treatments should be used according to NK stage/severity, but they are not mutually exclusive of one another. Often a combination of approaches needs to be considered.1,3

A major hurdle to NK management is convincing the patient of the necessity and benefits of treatment. If the patient is asymptomatic, why would they agree to intensive, often expensive, medication and treatment recommendations? Patients need to be educated that stage 1, even if asymptomatic, can progress to stages 2 and 3 (epithelial defect and stromal lysis) and could cause permanent vision loss. Therefore, preventive treatment is warranted.

It is also important to demonstrate pathology to patients and to provide them objective data by slit lamp photography, Schirmer’s test, corneal staining, or other advanced techniques, if/when available (such as osmolarity, matrix metallopeptidase 9, meibography, tear meniscus height [via keratography or optical coherence tomography], esthesiometry, topography, and confocal microscopy).

Medical Management

There are several medical management modalities for NK (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. MEDICAL TREATMENTS FOR NEUROTROPHIC KERATOPATHY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Tear substitutes/artificial tears</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Autologous serum eye drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Allogenic serum eye drops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Other serum eyedrop alternatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recombinant human nerve growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Antibiotics/steroids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Additive therapies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tear substitutes may help improve corneal surface at all stages of disease severity.4,5 While they are useful, they do not provide the essential components of human tears (ie, growth factors, vitamins, fibronectin).8-10 Tear substitutes must be preservative-free, as use of agents with benzalkonium chloride is a known risk factor for NK.4,6
Autologous serum eye drops (ASEDs) are derived from the patient’s own blood. As such, they are inherently nonallergenic and biochemically and biomechanically similar to tears.\textsuperscript{9,11} Natural tears have antimicrobial, nourishing, mechanical, and optical properties and contain many key components for healthy functioning of the eye. While these epitheliotropic factors are not replaced by artificial tears, they are present in ASEDs. In vitro corneal epithelial cells are better maintained by ASEDs than by artificial tears, and ASEDs promote growth and migration of cells, which is important in healing in vitro. The serum percent varies from 20% up to 100%.\textsuperscript{12-14}

There are several disadvantages with ASEDs. They are challenging to produce, the composition of the drops varies widely due to lack of regulations, and there are concerns about quality control, infection risk, and challenges with stability due to special storage requirements. Moreover, it is difficult to compare studies of ASEDs because production protocols vary; not surprisingly, there is a wide range of outcomes. ASEDs also can create medico-legal issues in some countries.\textsuperscript{9} There are conflicting views about the increased risk of circulating antibodies or pro-inflammatory mediators with ASEDs in patients with autoimmune diseases. In one paper, patients with secondary Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) had higher serum proinflammatory cytokine levels (tumor necrosis factor α, interleukin [IL]-1β, IL-6, and IL-8) than patients with primary SS. Patients with primary SS had significantly improved ocular symptoms, ocular surface staining grades, and tear breakup time with ASEDs. However, patients with secondary SS had no improvement. These results may suggest that ASEDs might not be effective for the treatment of secondary SS because of elevated serum proinflammatory cytokine levels.\textsuperscript{3,15}

Under certain circumstances, ASEDs are simply not suitable for some patients (eg, patients who have insufficient venous access, have anemia, are unable to travel to a donor center, are unable to give consent, have uncontrolled diabetes, have immune-mediated diseases who may be taking cytotoxic drugs, have sepsis, or are unable to donate 1 full unit of blood).\textsuperscript{16} Of note, the 2017 Royal College of Ophthalmologists guideline recommends serum eye drops for severe ocular surface disease, including severe dry eye, persistent and recurrent corneal epithelial defects, and NK. However, the guideline states that patients must have exhausted all available licensed therapies.\textsuperscript{11}

Other options include allogeneic serum (where autologous serum is unavailable or unsuitable), platelet-rich plasma (platelets contain growth factors for wound healing), umbilical cord serum, and finger prick blood. Prior clinical studies related to these treatment modalities have shown these methods to be safe and effective, with no report of infection.\textsuperscript{16-20}

Human umbilical cord serum has been shown to contain growth factors, including nerve growth factor (NGF), and has been used to treat a variety of ocular surface conditions including NK. The response to cord blood serum appears to be related to the severity of NK, with mild-to-moderate lesions in the form of punctate epithelial defects or confluent staining responding more quickly than frank epithelial defects. Difficulty obtaining cord blood serum limits its widespread use.\textsuperscript{3}

The data on finger prick blood are limited but positive.\textsuperscript{21} The advantages to this source of ASEDs are that numerous factors contained in tears are also found in whole blood and it is inherently nonallergenic. However, there is patient discomfort associated with finger prick and minimal theoretical risk of infection. The risk of ocular infection through transfer of skin pathogens via repeated close contact between finger and eye exists, though this is minimized by diligent cleaning of the finger with an alcohol pad. The risk of transmission of blood-borne pathogens to the anterior eye is biologically plausible.

The primary safety consideration for autologous serum-based eye drops is the risk of microbial growth during storage because serum-based solutions essentially are growth media. Care must be taken in the preparation of these eye drops to ensure that they are prepared in a sterile manner. Compounding pharmacies and eye banks have the equipment necessary to reduce the risk of contamination during preparation. Microbial contamination remains a risk in patients who have a compromised ocular surface. Although in the dry eye studies included in a report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology, no patients experienced any reported clinical adverse events, bacterial growth was reported in the eye drops of 2 patients with no adverse clinical consequence.\textsuperscript{13} Another disadvantage of ASEDs is the risk of inducing an infection because of, for example, hepatitis of the donor or microbial contamination of the initially sterile dropper bottle during prolonged use. This may occur during preparation as well as application of the
drops, either to the correct or any accidental recipient. Transmission of HIV by a single serum droplet into an eye has been reported.22

Published evidence for use of NGF in the treatment of NK is strong. The importance of NGF in corneal homeostasis has been demonstrated in vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo. Small uncontrolled, open-label studies with mouse NGF produced promising results for the treatment of corneal neurotrophic ulcers.

Manufacturing of human NGF is challenging due to its complex tertiary structure.23 Cenegermin is an Escherichia coli-derived recombinant human NGF formulation for topical ophthalmic use in the treatment of moderate and severe NK. Once cleaved to mature NGF, the molecule is identical to human NGF. As a source of NGF, cenegermin targets the nerve pathology associated with NK, not just the sequelae, and therefore has the potential to address the healing deficits seen in NK. It was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in August 2018 for the treatment of NK and is the only recombinant human NGF available at this time.3 The efficacy and safety of cenegermin has been evaluated in patients with moderate or severe NK refractory to nonsurgical treatment in 2 independent, multicenter, randomized, double-masked vehicle-controlled studies (1 in the United States, 1 in the European Union). Both studies compared cenegermin (10 and 20 μg/mL, European Union; 20 μg/mL United States) to vehicle for 8 weeks of treatment, followed by 8 weeks of treatment for those originally receiving vehicle, and follow-up was for 48 (European Union) or 24 weeks (United States).

The results showed that the post hoc analysis of the more conservative primary efficacy endpoint (ie, complete corneal healing, defined as 0-mm staining in the lesion area and no other persistent staining in the rest of the cornea after 8 weeks of treatment, compared with <0.5-mm staining in the main primary endpoint) was reached at week 4 by significantly more patients who originally received cenegermin compared with those who had received vehicle (Table 2). Importantly, 80% of those who achieved corneal healing were still healed 48 weeks after one 8-week cycle of cenegermin treatment.23,24

Nonsurgical Interventional Management

Interventional options for NK management include eyelid closure and punctal plugs. Eyelid closure to cover the cornea and protect it against the environment and effects of blinking can be achieved by any of several methods, including taping (eg, nasal strips, porous bandage tape, or paper tape), pressure patching, pad and bandage, and botulinum toxin–induced ptosis. Alternatively, tarsorrhaphy surgery can be performed in patients who need a permanent closure of the lids. As reviewed by Dua et al, each of these methods has advantages and disadvantages.3 Botulinum toxin–induced ptosis can be less disturbing to patients who need temporary closure than tarsorrhaphy because there is less scarring to the eyelids. The different toxin products are at different concentrations to achieve ptosis; the average duration of ptosis is 6 to 12 weeks. Botulinum toxin is an especially appropriate option in patients with poor general condition or poor cooperation.25

### Table 2. RESULTS OF STUDIES OF CENEGERMIN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>United States24</th>
<th>European Union23</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cenegermin</strong></td>
<td><strong>Vehicle</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 μg/mL (n = 24)</td>
<td>20 μg/mL (n = 52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 4</strong></td>
<td>56.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P &lt; .012</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 8</strong></td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P &lt; .001</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a 20 μg/mL cenegermin vs vehicle.
Data from Bonini et al23 and Pflugfelder et al.24
Punctal plugs act by occluding the tear ducts to retain natural tears. This can be achieved by temporary or permanent plugs or permanent occlusion of the punctum by cauterization. Temporary plugs are generally made of bovine collagen and rest in the punctal opening, making them difficult to remove.\textsuperscript{26} Silicone plugs may have the risk of rubbing on the ocular surface and irritating the eye but can be easily removed. Again, each has advantages and disadvantages, including discomfort (not common), migration, extrusion (in up to 50\% of cases), scarring/punctal stenosis (in up to 33\% of cases), infection (approximately 66\% of cases), and pyogenic granuloma (up to 35\%).\textsuperscript{3,26} Timing of placement is critical because retention of the tears during inflammation can also expose the ocular surface to more concentrated pro-inflammatory cytokines and other pro-inflammatory mediators, which could make the NK worse.\textsuperscript{3}

Therapeutic corneal or scleral contact lenses can be used for a variety of corneal and anterior segment conditions with the aim of promoting corneal epithelial healing and postponing severe corneal complications.\textsuperscript{4} Contact lenses act as a physical barrier against the eyelids to protect against degradation of the corneal epithelium and perhaps help with delaying progression to more serious corneal disease. There are 2 types of contact lenses (corneal and scleral), and they are available as normal and large diameter silicone hydrogel lenses, rigid gas permeable lenses, hybrid lenses, or prosthetic replacement of the ocular surface ecosystem (PROSE) lenses. Several studies have shown high rates of corneal healing with commercial scleral and PROSE lenses, including restoration of visual acuity in some studies, but there were some incidences of complications.\textsuperscript{27-34} Contact lenses are palliative and do not treat the underlying problem.\textsuperscript{35} Moreover, prolonged therapeutic contact lens use may increase the risk of secondary infections and the concomitant use of topical antibiotics is recommended, particularly with soft bandage contact lenses.\textsuperscript{4,36}

Tissue adhesives are a treatment option for corneal defects and perforations. For a small perforation (<3 mm) the application of tissue adhesive on the lesion, followed by the application of a soft bandage contact lens or amniotic membrane transplantation, is the procedure of choice.\textsuperscript{1,3,4,6,7} Two basic types of adhesives are used: synthetic (cyanoacrylate) and biologic (fibrin glue or clot). The cyanoacrylate glue polymerizes rapidly in the presence of water; the formaldehyde released during the polymerization may have some antibacterial activity. A rigid impermeable plaque is formed on the surface of the cornea; therefore, a bandage lens should be used for protection to avoid trauma to the lid as well as for comfort. This tissue adhesive is not suitable for larger lesions because it can be lost with acute worsening of the condition, but it can be a good standby option and it is nonbiodegradable.\textsuperscript{3} A fibrin clot or “glue” occurs when purified fibrinogen and thrombin are mixed together. Fibrin polymerizes relatively slowly and is rapidly degraded; addition of aprotinin or placement of a bandage contact lens can delay degradation. Antifibrinolytic agents (aprotinin and aminocaproic acid) increase the lifespan of the clot by inhibiting fibrinolysis. Fibrin glue has numerous advantages including allowing sufficient working time before inducing firm adhesion; reducing the requirement for sutures; having adequate tensile strength to maintain wound integrity; lowering the risk of infection to the wound site; and being biocompatible, well tolerated, transparent, accessible, affordable, and eventually disappearing. However, there is a potential risk of transmitting blood-borne disease with its use and variable concentrations of fibrin lead to variable performance.\textsuperscript{37,38}

Other procedural interventions particularly for associated dry eye disease/ocular surface disease that are adjunctive in all stages of NK include microbialpharaoexfoliation, thermal pulsation procedures (used to treat meibomian gland dysfunction [MGD]), intense pulsed light treatment (used to treat rosacea and MGD), conjunctivochalasis cautery or excision, anti-demodex in-office swabs, neurostimulation (using either an intranasal tear neurostimulator [TrueTear, Allergan] device or a selective nicotinic acetylcholine receptor agonist [currently under investigation in NK]), and self-retained amniotic membranes and/or bandage contact lenses (used to treat stage 1 punctate epithelial erosions).

Epithelial debridement is used to address the reduced epithelial migration due to the rolled, heaped-up edge of the defect in NK. Debridement, despite making the epithelial defect larger, helps facilitate epithelial healing by creating a clean demarcated edge and activating the epithelium to migrate centrally. It is a low-risk procedure easily performed at the slit lamp with a blade or spear.\textsuperscript{39} While technically being an in-office procedure, it may be useful to refer to a corneal specialist for this treatment.
Nicergoline is an ergot derivative that increases vasodilation and is used for the treatment of dementia. One study of 27 eyes with NK found complete healing of epithelial defects in 85% of eyes after treatment with 10-mg nicergoline twice daily for at least 2 weeks, as well as other positive outcomes.40

**Surgical Interventions**

Surgical interventions for NK are almost always reserved for those with stage 2 or 3 NK and can include amniotic membrane transplantation (AMT), tissue adhesives (previously discussed), total or partial conjunctival flap, multilayer AMT, corneal transplant, and corneal neurotization. AMT involves placement of an amnion such that the epithelium migrates to the membrane, either under the amniotic membrane, which will later fall off or is removed (a patch or “onlay”) or when the amnion becomes incorporated into the cornea (a graft or “inlay”). AMT also provides trophic support (multiple growth factors, collagens, laminin, fibronectin, and anti-inflammatory and anti-angiogenic factors). AMT not only helps facilitate epithelial healing but also may help increase corneal sensation.41 AMT can be performed as single layer or stacked/multilayer and can be sutured, glued, or self-retained. Of note, the amniotic membrane and fibrin glue carry the potential risk of transmitting blood-borne pathogens from pooled blood, but this can be minimized if the donors are healthy or the patient is using his/her own blood.38 AMT often requires multiple applications (it dissolves before the corneal ulcer heals) in NK but it can be effective for healing NK ulcers when traditional measures have failed.3,42,43

Tarsorrhaphy has been long established for NK treatment. It can be used either as a temporary measure with temporary sutures or as a permanent means of lid closure, which could be either partial or full. A partial tarsorrhaphy (lateral, nasal) allows drops and vision and eye examinations whereas full closure does not. It should be considered in all cases of persistent epithelial defects when medical treatment and/or nonsurgical interventions are not effective.3,44

Conjunctival flap procedure is performed mostly for stage 3 NK and with corneal perforations. It is performed to prevent progression of the epithelial defect to perforation by addressing the dryness and exposure and halting the inflammatory process.50 Total conjunctival flaps are best used if there is deep, central, visually significant stromal involvement and/or perforations with low visual potential. A conjunctival flap is a vascularized pedicle of conjunctiva, which delivers nutrients and growth factors to the cornea. While a conjunctival flap restores the integrity of the globe when all else fails and eliminates the need for frequent topical eyedrop instillation, it can significantly limit vision when covering the central cornea. Corneal perforation under the flap, retraction of the flap, and difficulty with reversal can be problematic. A conjunctival flap procedure is generally performed in an operating room.3,46

A corneal transplant can be done as lamellar (partial transplant) or penetrating (full corneal transplant). This procedure is often performed as a last resort, when corneal ulceration has failed to heal. The risk for rejection, failure, and melting is high.3,47 Keratoprosthesis on the other hand may lead to successful outcomes with restoration of vision for those with NK who have failed traditional keratoplasty or after a perforation has been stabilized with tarsorrhaphy, glue, or conjunctival flap.48

Finally, corneal neurotization is a complex surgery in which donor nerve branches are inserted at the corneal limbus to bypass the unhealthy nerve. It is the only surgical procedure that directly targets the ophthalmic division of the trigeminal nerve to increase corneal innervation and restore normal corneal sensation. It can be performed using a direct or indirect technique. Direct neurotization transposes healthy contralateral supraorbital and supratrochlear nerves, which are directed through a large coronal incision, to the limbus of the anesthetic eye. In one study, all 6 patients in whom this technique was used demonstrated improvement of visual acuity and corneal health and sensitivity, and remained free of signs of NK.49 The indirect technique is less invasive and uses a sural nerve autograft anastomosed to the supratrochlear nerve and tunneled through an upper eyelid incision instead of a bicoronal incision. It also allows for management of bilateral NK. In one study, 3 out of 4 eyes with NK had noticeably improved corneal sensitivity (55 mm [standard deviation, 5]) 6 months after surgery and none developed corneal anesthesia-related complications.50
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Neurotrophic Keratopathy Presentation and Management: A Case Study Series

The following case study series, based on an expert roundtable held in Baltimore, Maryland, on October 26, 2019, highlights key findings from the discussion and provides examples of typical neurotrophic keratopathy presentations and management strategies for eye care providers to apply in clinical practice.

Case 1
Persistent Epithelial Defect With Advanced Glaucoma
Natalie Afshari, MD
SHILEY EYE INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO, LA JOLLA, CALIFORNIA

History of Present Illness
A 35-year-old man with advanced glaucoma presented to the cornea clinic with unilateral persistent epithelial defect (PED).

Past Ocular/Surgical History
His past ocular history included open-angle glaucoma, previous glaucoma trabeculectomy and revision surgery, pseudophakia, and corneal edema.

Past Treatment History
He had been treated with lubrication with serum tears, bandage contact lens, amniotic membrane graft, and temporary tarsorrhaphy, without resolution.

Current Medications
He was currently taking dorzolamide/timolol twice daily and latanoprost every night at bedtime in each eye.

Initial Examination
His initial examination results are in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. INITIAL EXAMINATION RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right Eye</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraocular pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraocular muscles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confrontation visual field</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Examinations
Slit lamp examination showed a 3- x 2-mm central corneal epithelial defect with peripheral corneal neovascularization (Table 2). There was mild corneal edema (Figure 1A-C).
### Table 2. OTHER EXAMINATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slit lamp examination</th>
<th>Right Eye</th>
<th>Left Eye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lids and lashes</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctiva and sclera</td>
<td>Superior bleb</td>
<td>Superior bleb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornea</td>
<td>Clear; full sensation</td>
<td>Reduced sensation with conjunctival injection and peripheral corneal neovascularization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber</td>
<td>Deep and quiet</td>
<td>Deep and quiet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris</td>
<td>Round and reactive</td>
<td>Irregular and post surgical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>Posterior chamber intraocular lens</td>
<td>Pseudophakic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fundus examination</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disc</td>
<td>C/D 0.95, thin to rim</td>
<td>C/D 0.95, thin to rim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macula</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessels</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: C/D, cup-disc.

### Management

All glaucoma medications were stopped and the patient was placed on topical nerve growth factor (NGF).

### Discussion

After the NGF treatment, new epithelium emerged. The epithelial defect on Day 0 was pronounced (Figure 1A). After 1 week of treatment with NGF, there was notable improvement (Figure 1B) and by week 2, much of the haze was reduced (Figure 1C). After 1 year, his cornea continued to look clear after a Descemet stripping endothelial keratoplasty surgery for the corneal edema. Corneal neovascularization regressed as well (Figure 1D), although a mild haze has not completely disappeared.

### Case 2

#### Postsurgical Neurotrophic Keratopathy

Nakul Shekhawat, MD, MPH
WILMER EYE INSTITUTE, JOHNS HOPKINS SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

#### History of Present Illness

In June, a 67-year-old Hispanic male with primary open-angle glaucoma that was more severe in the left eye compared with the right eye presented after undergoing transscleral cyclophotocoagulation in the left eye. From July through September, he was lost to follow-up and during that time, he had stopped the prednisone that had been prescribed to him. In September, he presented with increased redness, foreign body sensation, and a new “white spot” on his left eye for the past month. He denied eye pain or photophobia.

#### Past Ocular History

His past ocular history included primary open-angle glaucoma that was more severe in the left eye compared with the right eye, retinal detachment in his left eye, and cataracts in both eyes.
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Past Surgical History
In 2017, the patient had a trabeculectomy, cataract extraction, and an intraocular lens implant in his right eye.

In his left eye, he had a detached retina repaired with scleral buckling and a trabectome with explantation of the exposed scleral buckle in 2010. He also underwent transscleral laser cyclophotocoagulation in 2017 and 2019.

Current Medications
He was currently taking dorzolamide/timolol twice daily and latanoprost every night at bedtime in each eye.

Initial Examination
His initial examination results are in Table 3.

Table 3. INITIAL EXAMINATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right Eye</th>
<th>Left Eye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity</td>
<td>20/25</td>
<td>Hand motions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils</td>
<td>4-3</td>
<td>Dilated, nonreactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraocular pressure</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraocular muscles</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confrontation visual field</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Constricted in all 4 quadrants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other Examinations
Slit lamp examination showed a 1.2- x 1.2-mm central geographic epithelial defect with heaped edges and early infiltrate surrounding (Table 4). There was a surrounding area of mild anterior thinning to 10% remaining. Few radial Descemet’s membrane striae were extending to the central ulcer. There was no frank edema or keratic precipitates on fluorescein staining (Figure 2A-C).

Management
Serology was not tested for in this patient because the review of systems did not reveal anything suspicious.

The main concern was neurotrophic keratopathy (NK) in the left eye. Moxifloxacin 0.5% (Vigamox; Alcon Laboratories, Inc.) every 4 hours while awake was started in the left eye and a bandage contact lens was placed with topical antibiotics. By day 4, the epithelial defect was reduced (Figure 2D-F) and by day 13, it was healed with stable mild thinning (Figure 2G and 2H).

Table 4. OTHER EXAMINATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right Eye</th>
<th>Left Eye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slit lamp examination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lids and lashes</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctiva and sclera</td>
<td>Superior bleb</td>
<td>2+ injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornea</td>
<td>Clear; full sensation</td>
<td>As shown; reduced sensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber</td>
<td>Deep and quiet</td>
<td>Deep and quiet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris</td>
<td>Round and reactive</td>
<td>Dilated, nonreactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens</td>
<td>Posterior chamber intraocular lens</td>
<td>2+ nuclear sclerosis with phacodonesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fundus examination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right Eye</th>
<th>Left Eye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disc</td>
<td>C/D 0.95, thin to rim</td>
<td>C/D 0.95, thin to rim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macula</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vessels</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periphery</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: C/D, cup-disc.

Figure 2. SLIT LAMP EXAMINATION

A-C) At presentation; D-F) Day 4; G and H) Day 13.
Discussion
Obtaining a thorough ocular surgical history and review of risk factors for NK is very important. It is also important to remember that a state of immune inflammation (eg, infection, autoimmune disorders) may make some individuals more susceptible to NK after surgery, so it may warrant testing serology in patients undergoing these procedures. Finally, testing corneal sensation in postsurgical patients is warranted.

Case 3
Post Vitrectomy Neurotrophic Keratopathy
Sumayya Ahmad, MD
MOUNT SINAI SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, NEW YORK, NEW YORK

History of Present Illness
A 58-year-old male with a proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) in both eyes, who had a tractional retinal detachment (TRD) repair 4 months prior to presentation, had an epithelial abrasion 2 weeks after surgery that was successfully managed with a bandage contact lens. Two weeks later, he had a recurrent vitreous hemorrhage, and the epithelium had to be removed for viewing the retina. Although he healed from that procedure, 1 week later the retina surgeon noted that he had a very poor view to the retina with a sloughing of the corneal epithelium. He was then referred to a cornea specialist.

Past Medical History
His past medical history included type 2 diabetes, chronic kidney disease, coronary artery disease, chronic congestive heart failure, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia.

Past Ocular History
The patient’s past ocular history included PDR in both eyes with TRD in the right eye and multiple antivascular endothelial growth factor injections in both eyes.

Past Surgical History
In June 2019 he had cataract extraction with intraocular lens implant and TRD repair in his right eye, followed by pars plana vitrectomy for recurrent vitreous hemorrhage in July 2019 (also in his right eye).

Current Medications
He was currently taking insulin glargine, isosorbide dinitrate and hydralazine hydrochloride, rosvastatin, lisinopril, furosemide, metoprolol, bimatoprost ophthalmic solution twice daily in his right eye, and dorzolamide hydrochloride-timolol maleate ophthalmic solution twice daily in his right eye.

Initial Examination
His initial examination results are in Table 5.

## Table 5. INITIAL EXAMINATION RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right Eye</th>
<th>Left Eye</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity</td>
<td>Hand motions</td>
<td>20/30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils</td>
<td>4 mm, 1+ APD</td>
<td>3 mm, no APD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraocular pressure</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraocular muscles</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Full</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confrontation visual field</td>
<td>Depressed all 4 quadrants</td>
<td>Full</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: APD, afferent pupillary defect.

Management
Although his vision was hand motion in the right eye, his left eye was doing well with repeated injections for PDR. His intraocular pressure was high, due to both corneal edema and chronic neovascular synechial closure. He had a linear epithelial defect with sloughing around the edges, trace stromal edema, and endothelial pigment. He was diagnosed with limbal cell deficiency due to multiple surgeries and was managed conservatively with preservative-free tears and continued erythromycin ointment at nighttime.
His epithelium had healed after 2 weeks but a haze was still present. However, at the next visit 2 weeks later, he had a new-onset epithelial defect (~6.5 mm) in the center of the cornea (Figure 3A), with heaped-up edges. His other eye appeared to be normal. This may have been due to his hitting his eye with the eye drop bottles, which is common among patients with diabetes and vision problems. This epithelial defect was treated again with preservative-free tears, and the dose of erythromycin was increased to 4 times daily. Approximately 10 days later, he had a 3-mm improvement in the epithelial defect (Figure 3B).

Out of concern for the epithelial defect that was not healing, corneal sensation was tested and was found to be absent. A Prokera (amniotic membrane, Bio-Tissue) was placed and then removed 1 week later with no change in the epithelial defect. An application to the insurance provider was made for cenegermin. The patient was also switched to preservative-free dorzolamide/timolol and continued with the antibiotic ointment and preservative-free tears.

At his last visit, his epithelial defect had reduced in size to 1 mm, and the stromal edema was resolving but he continued to have subepithelial haze (Figure 3C).

Discussion

Retinal procedures are well known to cause damage to the ciliary nerves, with insults resulting from scleral buckle, vitrectomy, and photocoagulation. Patients with diabetes are particularly vulnerable to postsurgical damage because of their underlying disease, which causes an ischemic neuropathy that affects the vasa vasorum.

The global burden of diabetes has increased among adults, and the number is expected to rise. Diabetic retinopathy is the most serious and vision-threatening manifestation of the disease. There is also mounting evidence that the increased prevalence of dry eye among patients with diabetes is due to damage to the autonomic nervous system. With the increase in diabetes and simultaneous rise in vitrectomy surgery, eye providers need to be aware of the heightened risk of NK in these vulnerable patients.

Patients with PDR also often have additional concurrent ocular diseases, such as glaucoma and endothelial failure, and often present with a complex clinical picture. It is important to keep the differential broad and keep NK in mind early in the disease. In this case, NK was misdiagnosed as limbal stem cell deficiency and medicamentosa due to the multiple ocular surgeries and glaucoma drops. It may also be judicious for retina surgeons to refer to anterior segment specialists early after vitrectomy in patients with PDR. This may result in earlier diagnosis and prevention of stage 2 NK, which can often cause corneal haze and irreversible damage. Once the diagnosis of NK is made, serum tears, amniotic membrane, and cenegermin should be initiated.

In summary, with the rise in diabetes and retinal procedures, NK may be more common than previously thought. Early referral to a cornea specialist, keeping a broad differential, and early initiation of appropriate therapy are important.

Figure 3. SLIT LAMP PHOTOGRAPHS OF NEW-ONSET EPITHELIAL DEFECT

A) After 4 weeks of treatment; B) 3-mm improvement after 10 days of treatment; C) Reduced to 1 mm.
Case 4

Herpes Simplex Virus–Related Keratitis Refractory to Medical Treatment

Mina Massaro-Giordano, MD
UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA

History of Present Illness
The patient was a 57-year-old female who presented to the Ophthalmology Institute in 2012 with complaints of constant eye irritation and dryness. She had a complicated history with recurring abrasions on both corneas (more severe in the left eye compared with the right eye), dry eyes, and PEDs. She had been on numerous medications including tobramycin/dexamethasone, cyclosporine, lifitegrast and alcaftadine drops, tacrolimus ointment, ganciclovir gel, and valacyclovir tablets. She used numerous ophthalmic lubricants including preservative-free tears with hyaluronic acid, hydroxypropyl cellulose ophthalmic inserts (Lacrisert, Bausch & Lomb Incorporated), nighttime gels, and vitamin A ointment. She also used 50% autologous serum. Punctal plugs and Prokera (amniotic membrane, Bio-Tissue) rings were placed. Her chief complaint was dry, irritated, light-sensitive, red eyes that were affecting her ability to work.

Past Medical History
The patient’s medical history included Sjögren’s syndrome, Raynaud’s syndrome, hypertension, allergies, and vitamin D deficiency.

Past Ocular History
Her ocular history included “dry eye,” meibomian gland dysfunction, filamentary keratitis, herpes simplex keratitis with stromal involvement (bilateral), and stage 1-2 NK.

Past Surgical History
She presented following laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) in both eyes, corneal filament removal from both eyes, punctal plug placement in both eyes, and amniotic membrane ring placement twice in her left eye.

Current Medications
Current medications included valacyclovir 500 mg daily, cevimeline 30 mg 3 times daily, nifedipine 30 mg daily, amlodipine 5 mg daily, hydroxychloroquine 200 mg twice daily, azelastine nasal spray twice daily, and cholecalciferol 1000 IU daily.

Initial Examination
The results of her initial examination are in Table 6.

| Table 6. INITIAL EXAMINATION RESULTS |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Parameter       | Results          |
| Visual acuity   | 20/25 OD, 20/30 OS |
| Pupils          | WNL              |
| Intraocular pressure | WNL          |
| Extraocular muscles | WNL, extraocular movements intact |

Abbreviation: WNL, within normal limits.

Other Examinations
Results from additional examinations are in Table 7.

| Table 7. OTHER EXAMINATION RESULTS |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Test            | Results          |
| Schirmer test   | 7 and 3 (unanesthetized) |
|                 | Sensation decreased in each eye |
| Post pole       | WNL              |
| VA fluctuation  | 20/25-20/100 OU  |

Slit lamp examination

| Lids            | Mild meibomian gland dysfunction and blepharitis |
| Conjunctiva and sclera | Lissamine green stain nasal and temporal grade 3+ in each eye, papillae |
| Cornea          | LASIK flaps, scarring, irregular epithelium, filaments, ghost imprints/old dendrites, pannus in each eye (1+ right, 2+ left) punctate epithelial keratopathy, decreased tear break-up time |
| Anterior chamber | Quiet in each eye |
| Lens            | -1+ nuclear sclerosis |
### Table 7. CONTINUED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ancillary testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochet-Bonnet</td>
<td>3-5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meibography</td>
<td>Grade 1 drop out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix metallopeptidase 9</td>
<td>Positive, few visits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmolarity ranges</td>
<td>301-320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confocal</td>
<td>Diminished nerves in subbasal plexus with few dendritic cells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LASIK, laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis; WNL, within normal limits.

### Management

This patient was very symptomatic with surface irritation and blurry vision preventing her from working. She had a long and complicated management course. Many treatment options were tried as previously mentioned. She continued to have PEDs (Figure 4A and 4B) and abnormal confocal findings (Figure 4C). She also participated in the NGF 014 trial (she received placebo). In 2016 she was fitted with mini scleral lenses, which she removed each night, and after which her vision improved, as did her ocular surface. There was no lissamine green stain in the area of the conjunctiva and cornea that was protected by the lens (Figure 4D). Many lenses were tried to find the correct fit. She did best with the Zenlens Oblate Z16 5 (Alden Optical). There are numerous papers in the literature to support the use of various types of contact lenses (prosthetic replacement of the ocular surface ecosystem, scleral, mini scleral, gas permeable, and soft) to help with the treatment of NK. Her cause for NK may have been multifactorial (Sjögren’s, dry eye, prior LASIK surgery, and herpes simplex virus). Although many different treatments could have contributed to her improvement, mini scleral lenses are less expensive than the traditional larger scleral lenses and may be easier to fit, and thus can be a valid option in some patients.

### Discussion

Contact lenses can actually help protect and heal the ocular surface. There are many options for contact lenses and it is important that the fit is correct, which may take numerous efforts. Contact lenses can and should be used with aggressive medical treatments.

### Case 5

**Stage 1 Neurotrophic Keratopathy**

**Pedram Hamrah, MD, FRCS, FARVO**

TUFTS UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE, BOSTON, MASSACHUSETTS

**History of Present Illness**

A 60-year-old female with decreased vision and pain in her left eye over the past few months was referred to a specialist after having been seen by a community ophthalmologist for 6 months.
Past Ocular History
Her ocular history included keratoconjunctivitis sicca in both eyes and herpes simplex keratitis and neurotrophic ulcer in the left eye.

Past Surgical History
She had not undergone any surgical procedures.

Past Medication History
Previous medications included artificial tears, lubricating ointment, bandage contact lens, topical steroids, topical cyclosporine, Prokera (amniotic membrane, Bio-Tissue) transplant twice in her left eye, autologous serum tears 20% 4 times daily, and ocular patching.

Initial Examination
Her initial examination results are listed in Table 8. Her best corrected visual acuity was 20/20 OD and 20/300 OS. She rated her pain on the visual analog scale as 9 out of 10 in her left eye.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 8. INITIAL EXAMINATION RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Right Eye</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual acuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraocular pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraocular muscles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confrontation visual field</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concomitant Medications
She was currently taking gabapentin, low-dose naltrexone, and once-daily loteprednol 0.5%.

Other Examinations
Slit lamp examination showed moderate superficial punctate keratitis (SPK) in the right eye with 2+ central and interpalpebral SPK and severe 4+ diffuse SPK throughout the cornea in the left eye (Table 9). There was no frank epithelial defect present (Figure 5A).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 9. OTHER EXAMINATION RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Test</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slit lamp examination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lids and lashes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctiva and sclera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fundus examination
Disc C/D 0.3 | C/D 0.3 |
Macula Normal | Normal |
Vessels Normal | Normal |
Periphery Normal | Normal |

Abbreviations: C/D, cup-disc; MGD, meibomian gland dysfunction; NS, nuclear sclerosis; SPK, superficial punctate keratitis.

Management
The patient was started on low-dose naltrexone and gabapentin for pain management, as well as cenegermin 6 times/day. Her serum concentration was increased from 20% to 50% and steroids were tapered from 4 times daily. After 1 week, there was no improvement in corneal sensitivity or structure. However, the pain was reduced to 2 (from 9). After 8 weeks of treatment, 80% of the corneal staining had disappeared (Figure 5A-D). At that time, the cenegermin was stopped and serum tears were switched back to 20%, 8 times daily. Her vision had improved to 20/30 OS.

Discussion
This is a case of severe stage 1 NK that was unresponsive to treatments prior to cenegermin. It is interesting to note that this patient had no corneal sensation yet very high pain. In fact, she likely had comorbid neuropathic pain (post-herpetic neuralgia) and NK.

This patient was treated with low-dose naltrexone and gabapentin for the pain, but other options could include nortriptyline, carbamazepine, or oxcarbazepine.
The decision to initiate cenegermin in a patient with stage 1 NK is worth discussion. It is normally reserved for stage 2-3 NK, but this patient had clearly failed several other therapies. Table 10 lists a series of treatment options for the different stages of the NK disease process.1

In summary, it is important to consider the diagnosis of NK when treatment with conventional dry eye therapies fails. After a change in therapy, it is recommended that therapies are not altered immediately to allow time to assess the impact of therapeutic changes. When patients are at risk for epithelial breakdown, multiple therapies could be combined. If an inflammatory component is present, it is important that this be addressed. And, it is important to manage pain and discomfort, in addition to treating the ocular surface.

Table 10. CONTINUED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epithelial Breakdown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ocular lubrication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punctal occlusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandage contact lenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amniotic membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regenerating agent, trehalose, coenzyme Q10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucolytics (if filamentary changes are present)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood-derived tear substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial scraping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid closure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decreased Sensation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood-derived tear substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recombinant human nerve growth factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amniotic membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurotization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stromal Lysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Citrate/tetracycline/macrolides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amniotic membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanoacrylate, with bandage contact lens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucolytics (if filamentary changes are present)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood-derived tear substitution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial scraping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid closure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perforation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amniotic membrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanoacrylate glue, with bandage contact lens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrin glue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival flaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal grafts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
